LEBE SEE, Rhinstine

There is a bit of drek, bound in covers and superficially with the other aspects of a book, published in Chicago by Charles Hallberg & Co., 3435 Mcrenendiso hert, that I believe libels me and - have no foubt has that intent. Is no just a nut of the extreme of the radical right (no same parson would have put this sickening published out) or has he enough substance to warrant suit?

The "book" is titled "Fad Friday. It is by a Botisto Cuban Storekeeper, improved from peddler, calling misself toutor, increably a man who claims a doctor of lows degree from havens University, one Carlos Bringular. An eszociate of such pillars of the democratic society as the racist commercializer of religion and "Communian" as Filly Rergis, and of General Walker, Uninquier is in his own right a racist, he is a sincere and dedicated fascist who so believes the insene stuff he utters he is called "The Stupidity" by even other anti-Castro Cubans.

Aside from calling me an assortment of unpleasant things like Communist, pro-Jamunist, Communist dupe, etc., while loudly reclaiming that all Communists are responsible for the femely association, this besterd has a real nice added defamation, one that through the efforts of another of his ilk, a Latin larger in h. ..., has already cost me a young fortune (for me), more than the Jb, 200 Dell hald back from my royalties.

me filed a series of spurious suits in N.O., all thrown out of court, against Dell, Segs and me, never once alleging error, all immune to suit because it is direct and accurate contation of the testimony. Among his claims is that by me wrately quating his own testimony, that he was part owner of his store at her than, as he swore, only the asnager, I must his business (250,000 worth). He never served any of the defendants, the athica by mail being ruled illegal in La. None of the defendants de business in La.He filed in the group fourt. The final business was a castigation by the judge for abuse of the judicial process. We allowed him to withdraw the last suit with prejudice against himself, this history he knew, and his lawyer knew, among other things, that the La. State court had no jurisdiction, that having already been twice ruled down there. But he is a publicity-seaker. Once, after all this history, in an effort to get himself some publicity, he took a xerox of the rough draft of the first complaint to the clark of the yourt an attempted to file it as a new suit against us. The clark recognized it said refused to sceept it, knowing it had already been thrown out of court. He then took it to the US Marshall, paid the requisite fee, and got him to serve it on me in N.C., telling the Marshall that it was an amended complaint, he also teld the same thing to the courts reporter of the N.O. paper. He also recognized it and ignored it.

he then filed a suit egainst Cany n, co-publisher of OSYALD IN VER OMEANS. Canyon is a small outfit. When they got the estimate of 15,000 for just getting the frivolity tossed out of sourt, they decided, ultimately, to ignore the suit, for it had neither standing nor meaning, filed in the wrong court, etc. and being unanferceable against them, they doing no business in La. his lawyer arranged for this to some before a crony judge, a political back. Conyon paid no attention to it at all. Now through all of this Bringuier was coreful not to serve me, either by the invalid mailing of papers or on the many times I was in N.C.

During this time I was in N.C. often and for as long as 15 days at a time. As I say, he had no difficulty finding me to serve utter magningless of ma, so he could have served me legitimately. We know I was there, obviously. I was preminently these, on radio, TV, investigating near him, often but a couple of doors from his place of business, etc. He was careful not to serve me because I suspect he knew I was anxiousate wat him is court where I was not complicated by relations with Dell and Sage. Dell hired the lower (I had no dough and scalin't), with money they owed me. I tried without success to get a lower to file an action against him. (Nould I love to take a deposition from that fosciet, that outi-legits!) nd, I might add, perfore the Warren Commission.)

The gross, deliberate and, I believe intendedly libelous representation of this is his book (0.22) womits out this way:

Tone of the most active critics of the Commission's finds is an old men named ascald Weisberg, author of three books in relation to the essessination. His books are so full of inecuracies that I decided to give him the apportunity to prove in the fourt of Justice the trail of what he writes. For several menths he eveded this confrontation arguing lack of Jurisdiction of the Tourisians Courts. On 9/4/68 Judge David Gertler of the Civil District Court of the Parish of Orleans rendered a judgement in the case of 'Dr. Carlos Bringuier vs. The Canyon Books and Books Distributing Company (cic) at al' (Sublishers of Leiberg's book Offall) IN NTW OFFICERS with a foreword by New Orleans District Attorney James (sic) Carrison) ordering Conyon Books to yet me the sum of five thousand dollars with legal interest from the date of judicial demand until paid and all costs of the court price diags".

This is the court be know had no jurisdiction, the unicidal court, fast having already been twice ruled on, the second accession ending with his own plan to withdraw with projudice against himself. And quite the operate of "for coulds be evaded this confrontation", for months I was in N.O, and he made no effort, legal or otherwise, to serve me or "confront" me.

In my line, this entirely false and entirely menufactured series of lies, known to Bringuier to be lies, can be quite damaging. And by the way, no connot even torture the arguing of the successful local point on lack of jurisdiction into naving any relationship to me, for he are juite careful to avoid even the spurious sell service in his second bell suit. I was never rerved, was not a respondent, and during that time that a was conspiciously and to his knowledge available to service, in N.O.

Do I have to tell you the kind of animated stupidity is involved when the lawyer (and his "doctor of laws" client) knowing file a first quit in the wrong court, have this then ruled and their case uncorremoniously toused out, and they file the sear cuit (word for word, as I recell, complete with 11 the errors and types foithfully reproduced), in identically the same court that has no jurisdiction? And after this time getting clobbared for stuse of the courts and withdrawing their own suit with prejudice, they do the same thing a third time, knowing they are before a pal of the lawyer, knowing Canyon will ignore them, and are careful not to make me a defendant?

This Latin would-be ditler does onything for publicity. We filed a suit against a neighbor because that neighbor responded to a mannors are testified before the larren Com is ion! That it, too, was immediately t seed out of court was unreported. That it was filed got publicity. And it cost the neighbor a stiff fee to get it also throw out of court. And his perjury, of which I have the dost unarrough decumentation, was a key factor in the misdirection of the larren investigation. That do you think?

Hope I get to thiongo again soun. I still recall that too-abundant, succlient Italian meal. Best to you all.

Sincerely.